

# Bayesian Methods for Machine Learning

Simon Leglaive - CentraleSupélec

## Bayesian inference for the Gaussian

Let  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}_{i=1}^N$  denote a set of  $N$  independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) observations following a Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and variance  $\sigma^2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ .

**Part 1:** We first consider the mean  $\mu$  and the variance  $\sigma^2$  as deterministic parameters.

**Question 1** Why can we factorize the likelihood as in equation (1)?

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2), \quad \text{where } p(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2). \quad (1)$$

**Question 2** Using the probability density function (pdf) of the Gaussian distribution defined in equation (6) of the appendix, show that the maximum-likelihood estimates of the mean and variance are given by:

$$\mu_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i; \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_{\text{ML}}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu_{\text{ML}})^2. \quad (3)$$

**Part 2:** We now consider the mean  $\mu$  as a latent random variable following a Gaussian prior distribution  $p(\mu) = \mathcal{N}(\mu; \mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$  where  $\mu_0$  and  $\sigma_0^2$  are considered as deterministic hyper-parameters.<sup>1</sup>

The likelihood model is unchanged, i.e.  $p(\mathbf{x} | \mu; \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2)$ , where the conditioning bar ‘|’ indicates that  $\mu$  is now a random variable. The variance  $\sigma^2$  is still considered as a deterministic parameter.

**Question 3** Show that the posterior distribution of  $\mu$  is given by equation (4).

$$p(\mu | \mathbf{x}; \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(\mu; \mu_*, \sigma_*^2), \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} \mu_* &= \frac{\sigma^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_0 + \frac{N\sigma_0^2}{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2} \mu_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma_*^2} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \frac{N}{\sigma^2} \end{cases}, \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>To simplify notations, we omit to denote the hyper-parameters in the prior, i.e. we simply write  $p(\mu)$  instead of  $p(\mu; \mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$ .

where  $\mu_{\text{ML}}$  is defined in (2).

**Question 5** Give the limit of  $\mu_*$  and  $\sigma_*^2$  when the number of observations  $N$  goes to zero and interpret the result.

**Question 6** Give the limit of  $\mu_*$  and  $\sigma_*^2$  when the number of observations  $N$  goes to infinity and interpret the result.

**Part 3:** We consider now that the mean  $\mu$  is again a deterministic parameter while the variance is a latent random variable following an inverse-gamma prior distribution  $p(\sigma^2) = \mathcal{IG}(\sigma^2; \alpha, \beta)$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are deterministic hyper-parameters.

The likelihood model is unchanged, i.e.  $p(\mathbf{x} | \sigma^2; \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2)$ , where the conditioning bar ‘|’ indicates that  $\sigma^2$  is now a random variable, while again, the mean  $\mu$  is still considered as a deterministic parameter.

**Question 7** Show that the posterior distribution of  $\sigma^2$  is given by equation (5).

$$p(\sigma^2 | \mathbf{x}; \mu) = \mathcal{IG}(\sigma^2; \alpha_*, \beta_*), \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_* &= \alpha + \frac{N}{2} \\ \beta_* &= \beta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2 \end{cases}. \quad (5)$$

Note: Use the probability density functions defined in the appendix.

**Question 8** Explain how we could estimate the deterministic model parameters  $\mu, \alpha$  and  $\beta$ ?

## Appendix

**Gaussian distribution** The probability density function (pdf) of the Gaussian distribution is given by

$$\mathcal{N}(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right], \quad (6)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is the Gaussian random variable,  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[x] \in \mathbb{R}$  is the mean and  $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[(x - \mu)^2] \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is the variance.

**Inverse-Gamma distribution** The probability density function (pdf) of the inverse-gamma distribution is given by

$$\mathcal{IG}(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{x}\right), \quad (7)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is the inverse-gamma random variable,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$  are the shape and scale parameters, respectively, and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function (you do not need its definition).

Moreover, we have the following properties:

$$\mathbb{E}[x^{-1}] = \alpha/\beta, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\ln(x)] = \ln(\beta) - \psi(\alpha), \tag{9}$$

$$\tag{10}$$

where  $\psi(\cdot)$  is the digamma function (you do not need its definition).